Senate Should Protect War Powers on Libya

BY LOUIS FISHER

Guest Observer

On June 3, the House of Representatives debated and voted on

two resolutions that criticized President Barack Obama for not seeking legislative authorization for the war in Libya. Again on June 24, the House debated two other measures that rebuked Obama. The Senate has yet to take any floor action, although on June 28 the Foreign Relations Committee held a hearing on "Libya and War Powers."

The difference between the two chambers is remarkable. Because of its constitutional role with treaties and the appointment of ambassadors, the Senate supposedly has a more prominent role in foreign affairs than the House. But the House came to the floor first on this war powers issue and its resolutions are significantly stronger in terms of defending legislative interests than the rival Senate bills that have been introduced but not yet acted upon.

Democrats and Republicans in the House strongly object to Obama's failure to seek authorization for the military action in Libya from Congress. The first June 3 resolution, introduced by Rep. Dennis Kucinich (D-Ohio) with Republican cosponsors, directed the removal of U.S. armed forces from Libya within 15 days of the resolution's adoption. It failed 148-265. Introduced as a concurrent resolution, it would not have been legally binding. At most, it would have expressed the sentiments of the two chambers.

The second House resolution was introduced by Speaker John Boehner (R-Ohio) and adopted 268-145. It noted that President Obama "failed to provide Congress with a compelling rationale" for military activities in Libya and directed Obama within 14 days to describe "in detail" U.S. security interests and objectives in Libya and explain why he did not seek "authorization by Congress for the use of military force in Libya."

As a House resolution, Boehner's measure offered only the sentiments of that chamber and had no legal force. However, it would have been politically costly for President Obama to ignore it. Many House Members from both parties believe he has acted contemptuously toward the legislative branch. Obama submitted his letter and accompanying report to Boehner on June 15.

Boehner's resolution concluded: "Congress has the constitutional prerogative to withhold funding for any unauthorized use of the United States Armed Forces, including for unauthorized activities regarding Libya." A competing Senate measure, S.Res. 194, was far more deferential to presidential power and executive control over the war power. It expressed no concern about the lack of Congressional authorization for the war in Libya. Instead, it merely requested Obama "to consult regularly with Congress regarding United States efforts in Libya."

The framers did not expect the president to merely "consult" with lawmakers when taking the country from a state of peace to a state of war. The president needed either a formal declaration of war

Why are Senators not exercising their duty to scrutinize?

or statutory authorization.

The Senate resolution accepted the administration's position that military action in Libya was authorized by U.N. Security Council Resolution 1973. Neither House resolution regarded U.N. resolutions as a constitutional substitute for Congressional authorization. The Senate resolution agreed that the goal of U.S. policy in Libya, "as stated by the President, is to achieve the departure from power of Muammar Qaddafi and his family." Regime change was not part of Obama's promised "limited" action or Security Council Resolution 1973. Subsequent Senate legislation, S.J.Res. 20 introduced on June 21, is designed to authorize U.S. armed force in Libya for an additional year. A series of "whereas" clauses accepts Security Council resolutions as "mandates" for U.S. military action. The joint resolution agrees that "the goal of United States policy in Libya, as stated by the President," is to drive Gadhafi from power. Nothing in the Senate resolution objects to Obama starting the war on his own and failing to seek authorization from Congress.

The two House actions on June 24 were quite extraordinary. The chamber voted

SHOOT! SHOOT 'EM!! BLOW THEIR BRAINS OUT! SPLATTER THEIR GUTS...





KILL! KILL!! KILL!!!

EAT LEAD, SUCKA-HEY!

EWW! KISSY FACE! WHAT THE? THEY'RE GETTING NAKED...



YOU CAN'T SEE THIS! YOU'RE UNDERAGE!! AND IMPRESSIONABLE!





DON'T JUST SIT THERE-WASTE THEM! TEAR 'EM



MATSON ROLL CALL

down a resolution that would have authorized U.S. military action in Libya 123-295, with 70 Democrats joining Republicans voting no. A separate measure, to provide limited financing for the war, was rejected 180-238. Only 36 Democrats favored this

Critics of the House action called the two votes a "mixed message." Yet the legislative communication was quite clear on the fundamental point of whether to authorize and fund the war. On both counts: no. There was deep resentment from Democrats and Republicans to Obama's constitutional position that he did not need Congressional authorization. A bipartisan group of House Members plans a subsequent vote to cut funding for the

In terms of legislative remedies, the House is moving toward the protection of Congressional authority. The Senate is not. Why are Senators not exercising their independent duty to scrutinize and check presidential usurpations of the war power which the Constitution vests in Congress? Members of Congress take an oath of office to support and defend the Constitution, not to support and defend the president. Unless each branch fights off encroachments by another branch, the system of checks and balances designed to safeguard constitutional liberties cannot function.

Louis Fisher is author of "Presidential War Power." Before retiring last year from the Library of Congress, he worked for four decades with lawmakers and committees on a range of constitutional issues, including the war power.

QUOTABLE

"If we are going to put lives at risk, we do that far better as one nation than as one man."

Lowell P. Weicker Jr.

(1931-)

Former Connecticut Congressman, Senator and governor in an argument against the War Powers Act in a Senate debate, Oct. 20, 1987

"I am against giving the power of war to the Executive, because he is not safely to be trusted with it."

George Mason

Member of the Virginia and federal constitutional conventions, 1787

Editorial Director Mike Mills **Editor Scott Montgomery** Managing Editor, Operations Katie Smith

Morton M. Kondracke Politics Editor Lauren W. Whittington Associate Editor Paul Singer

Senate Editor Emily Pierce House Editor Jason Dick

Fastures Edito Ryan Teague Beckwith ecial Publications Editor

John Bicknell Web Editor Melanie Starkey Photo Editor Douglas Graham

Staff Writers
Kate Ackley • David M. Drucker
John Stanton • Emily Heil
Steven T. Dennis • Anna Palmer
Shira Toeplitz • Jessica Brady
Daniel Newhauser • Kyle Trygstad
Janie Lorber • Ambreen Ali
Alex Knott • Joshua Miller
Amanda Becker • Neda Semnani
Eliza Newlin Carney
Meredith Shiner

Staff Photographers
Tom Williams • Bill Clark Design Director Marilyn Gates-Davis Assistant Design Director **Blake Whitney**

Copy Chief Emily Ortman Deputy Copy Chief Sara Bondioli

Copy Editors Alex Wang • Cyra Master Alex Muller Editorial Assistants

Jessica Estepa · Kate Tummarello Staff Cartoonist R.J. Matson Contributing Cartoonist Mike Mikula

Stuart Rothenberg Don Wolfensberger

Erin Mershon • Alexandra Jaffe

CQ Roll Call Daily Briefing Editor **David Hawkings**

The Newspaper of Capitol Hill Since 1955

Founding Editor and Publisher Sid Yudain

Copyright 2011 CQ-Roll Call, Inc. Reproduction of this publication in whole or part is prohibited except with the written permission of the publisher. Roll Call maintains strict neutrality on all partisan issues

Letters to the editor: editors@rollcall.com Main office phone: 202-650-6500 Reprint requests: cgrollcall.com/reprints Subscriptions: circdept@rollcall.com or 800-289-9331 Classified advertising inquiries: classifieds@cqrollcall.com Display advertising inquiries: advertise@cgrollcall.com

SVP & Group Publisher Mark Walters

ADVERTISING

ADVERTISING
Vice President, National Advertising Peter Teese
Directors
Paul Anderson (Southeast Sales), Andrea Birdsong (Interactive Marketing),
Liz Rioux Christian (Northeast Sales), Dave Deker (Midwest Sales),
Rebecca Gale (Promotions), Marie Kilroy (Mid-Atlantic Sales),
Keith Norman (West Coast Sales), Valerie Voci (Marketing),
Braden Wright (Online & Consumer/Classified), Lauren York (Advertising
Operations)
Director of Circulation David Glickstein
Manager of Advertising Operations Subira Issa
Classified Sales William E. Cosper, Jodi Williams

Classified Sales William E. Cosper, Jodi Williams

CIRCULATION SALES EVP & Managing Director, CQ Capitol Advantage Keith White Vice President Jim Gale Senior Director, National Sales

Sean F. Doyle FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE SERVICES SVP & Publisher Meg Hargreaves Client Relationships Arnie Thomas

ADVOCACY, STATE & TRANSCRIPTS VP & Publisher Barkley Kern

STRATEGY & MARKETING SVP Rick Rockelli FINANCE SVP & Chief Financial Officer Douglas A. (Chip) Wallen

HUMAN RESOURCES SVP Rodney Whitmore TECHNOLOGY

VP & Chief Technology Officer **Dennis Arndt**

Complete company masthead available at cqrollcall.com/masthead